Kodaira embedding and a Schwarz Lemma after Yau-Royden

Lei Ni

University of California, San Diego

September, 2019

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 臣▶ ★ 臣▶ 三臣 - のへぐ

・ロト・(部・・モト・モー) うへぐ

• A. Kodaira's embedding and its implications.

- A. Kodaira's embedding and its implications.
- B. Two new curvature notions and intrinsic characterizations of the projectivity/algebraicity.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

- A. Kodaira's embedding and its implications.
- B. Two new curvature notions and intrinsic characterizations of the projectivity/algebraicity.

• C. Two new theorems and the proof.

- A. Kodaira's embedding and its implications.
- B. Two new curvature notions and intrinsic characterizations of the projectivity/algebraicity.
- C. Two new theorems and the proof.
- D. Yau-Royden's Schwarz Lemma and Kobayashi (and k-) hyperbolicity.

- A. Kodaira's embedding and its implications.
- B. Two new curvature notions and intrinsic characterizations of the projectivity/algebraicity.
- C. Two new theorems and the proof.
- D. Yau-Royden's Schwarz Lemma and Kobayashi (and k-) hyperbolicity.

・ロト ・ 同 ト ・ 三 ト ・ 三 ・ うへつ

• E. A new Schwarz Lemma and implications.

- A. Kodaira's embedding and its implications.
- B. Two new curvature notions and intrinsic characterizations of the projectivity/algebraicity.
- C. Two new theorems and the proof.
- D. Yau-Royden's Schwarz Lemma and Kobayashi (and k-) hyperbolicity.
- E. A new Schwarz Lemma and implications.
- F. Conjectures, Kähler C-spaces, CQB, CQB^d, and some progresses.

- A. Kodaira's embedding and its implications.
- B. Two new curvature notions and intrinsic characterizations of the projectivity/algebraicity.
- C. Two new theorems and the proof.
- D. Yau-Royden's Schwarz Lemma and Kobayashi (and k-) hyperbolicity.
- E. A new Schwarz Lemma and implications.
- F. Conjectures, Kähler C-spaces, CQB, CQB^d, and some progresses.

Some of results are joint work with F. Zheng.

- A. Kodaira's embedding and its implications.
- B. Two new curvature notions and intrinsic characterizations of the projectivity/algebraicity.
- C. Two new theorems and the proof.
- D. Yau-Royden's Schwarz Lemma and Kobayashi (and k-) hyperbolicity.
- E. A new Schwarz Lemma and implications.
- F. Conjectures, Kähler C-spaces, CQB, CQB^d, and some progresses.

・ロト ・ 日 ・ モ ト ・ モ ・ うへぐ

Some of results are joint work with F. Zheng.

Papers can be downloaded at http://math.ucsd.edu/ ~ Ini/academic.html

▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲臣▶ ▲臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲臣▶ ▲臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

Embedding problem/theorem is universal. It unites the extrinsic and intrinsic geometry.

- Embedding problem/theorem is universal. It unites the extrinsic and intrinsic geometry.
- Whitney (1936): any smooth real n-dimensional manifold (Hausdorff and second-countable) can be smoothly embedded in the real ℝ²ⁿ, n ≥ 1 (weak version in ℝ²ⁿ⁺¹).

- Embedding problem/theorem is universal. It unites the extrinsic and intrinsic geometry.
- Whitney (1936): any smooth real n-dimensional manifold (Hausdorff and second-countable) can be smoothly embedded in the real ℝ²ⁿ, n ≥ 1 (weak version in ℝ²ⁿ⁺¹).
- Nash(1956): any C[∞] Riemannian manifold (Mⁿ, g) can be isometrically embedded into some Euclidean space ℝ^d with d = d(n). Nash (1951): algebraic embedding of real manifolds

- Embedding problem/theorem is universal. It unites the extrinsic and intrinsic geometry.
- Whitney (1936): any smooth real n-dimensional manifold (Hausdorff and second-countable) can be smoothly embedded in the real ℝ²ⁿ, n ≥ 1 (weak version in ℝ²ⁿ⁺¹).
- Nash(1956): any C[∞] Riemannian manifold (Mⁿ, g) can be isometrically embedded into some Euclidean space ℝ^d with d = d(n). Nash (1951): algebraic embedding of real manifolds
- ▶ Remmert(1956)-Narasimhan(1960)-Bishop(1961): every Stein manifold M^m can be holomorphically embedded into C^{2m+1}.

- Embedding problem/theorem is universal. It unites the extrinsic and intrinsic geometry.
- Whitney (1936): any smooth real n-dimensional manifold (Hausdorff and second-countable) can be smoothly embedded in the real ℝ²ⁿ, n ≥ 1 (weak version in ℝ²ⁿ⁺¹).
- Nash(1956): any C[∞] Riemannian manifold (Mⁿ, g) can be isometrically embedded into some Euclidean space ℝ^d with d = d(n). Nash (1951): algebraic embedding of real manifolds
- ▶ Remmert(1956)-Narasimhan(1960)-Bishop(1961): every Stein manifold M^m can be holomorphically embedded into C^{2m+1}.

- Embedding problem/theorem is universal. It unites the extrinsic and intrinsic geometry.
- Whitney (1936): any smooth real n-dimensional manifold (Hausdorff and second-countable) can be smoothly embedded in the real ℝ²ⁿ, n ≥ 1 (weak version in ℝ²ⁿ⁺¹).
- Nash(1956): any C[∞] Riemannian manifold (Mⁿ, g) can be isometrically embedded into some Euclidean space ℝ^d with d = d(n). Nash (1951): algebraic embedding of real manifolds
- ▶ Remmert(1956)-Narasimhan(1960)-Bishop(1961): every Stein manifold M^m can be holomorphically embedded into C^{2m+1}.
- A Kähler manifold (M, g) is a complex one whose Kähler form $\omega_g = \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2} g_{\alpha \overline{\beta}} dz^{\alpha} \wedge d\overline{z}^{\beta}$ is *d*-closed.

- Embedding problem/theorem is universal. It unites the extrinsic and intrinsic geometry.
- Whitney (1936): any smooth real n-dimensional manifold (Hausdorff and second-countable) can be smoothly embedded in the real ℝ²ⁿ, n ≥ 1 (weak version in ℝ²ⁿ⁺¹).
- Nash(1956): any C[∞] Riemannian manifold (Mⁿ, g) can be isometrically embedded into some Euclidean space ℝ^d with d = d(n). Nash (1951): algebraic embedding of real manifolds
- ▶ Remmert(1956)-Narasimhan(1960)-Bishop(1961): every Stein manifold M^m can be holomorphically embedded into C^{2m+1}.
- ► A Kähler manifold (M, g) is a complex one whose Kähler form $\omega_g = \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2} g_{\alpha \overline{\beta}} dz^{\alpha} \wedge d\overline{z}^{\beta}$ is *d*-closed.
- ► Kodaira (1954): Assuming the Kähler form is integral, a compact Kähler manifold can be holomorphically embedded into the complex projective P^m (called projective).

 Any projective manifold is Kähler (complex submanifolds of Kähler are Kähler). Not all Kähler manifolds are projective.

- Any projective manifold is Kähler (complex submanifolds of Kähler are Kähler). Not all Kähler manifolds are projective.
- 'Forgetting' is helpful: Serre's duality for algebraic manifolds can be proved by Hodge-Kodaira theorem on complex vector bundles of any complex manifolds.

- Any projective manifold is Kähler (complex submanifolds of Kähler are Kähler). Not all Kähler manifolds are projective.
- 'Forgetting' is helpful: Serre's duality for algebraic manifolds can be proved by Hodge-Kodaira theorem on complex vector bundles of any complex manifolds.
- ► W.-L. Chow's theorem (any complex submanifolds of P^m must be algebraic, namely zeros of polynomials) and Serre's GAGA principle.

・ロト ・ 同 ト ・ 三 ト ・ 三 ・ うへつ

- Any projective manifold is Kähler (complex submanifolds of Kähler are Kähler). Not all Kähler manifolds are projective.
- 'Forgetting' is helpful: Serre's duality for algebraic manifolds can be proved by Hodge-Kodaira theorem on complex vector bundles of any complex manifolds.
- ► W.-L. Chow's theorem (any complex submanifolds of P^m must be algebraic, namely zeros of polynomials) and Serre's GAGA principle.
- Hence 'projectivity'='being algebraic'; and the embedding avails us the algebraic tools to study some Kähler manifolds.

- Any projective manifold is Kähler (complex submanifolds of Kähler are Kähler). Not all Kähler manifolds are projective.
- 'Forgetting' is helpful: Serre's duality for algebraic manifolds can be proved by Hodge-Kodaira theorem on complex vector bundles of any complex manifolds.
- ► W.-L. Chow's theorem (any complex submanifolds of P^m must be algebraic, namely zeros of polynomials) and Serre's GAGA principle.
- Hence 'projectivity'='being algebraic'; and the embedding avails us the algebraic tools to study some Kähler manifolds.
- 'Forgetting' allows PDEs/geometric method to study the algebraic manifolds, e.g. yielding the Hodge theorem/structure, Rieman-Roch-Hirzebruch index theorem etc.

<ロト < 部 ト < 目 ト < 目 ト 目 の < で</p>

 An equivalent formulation of the condition for Kodaira's embedding theorem is that *M* admits a positive holomorphic line bundle (by also Kodaira).

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

- An equivalent formulation of the condition for Kodaira's embedding theorem is that *M* admits a positive holomorphic line bundle (by also Kodaira).
- The positivity of L = there exists a hermitian metric a on L such that its Chern curvature c₁(L) = −√(-1)/(2π)∂∂ log a > 0.

・ロト ・ 同 ト ・ 三 ト ・ 三 ・ うへつ

- An equivalent formulation of the condition for Kodaira's embedding theorem is that *M* admits a positive holomorphic line bundle (by also Kodaira).
- The positivity of L = there exists a hermitian metric a on L such that its Chern curvature c₁(L) = −√(-1)/(2π)∂∂ log a > 0.
- ► Kodaira's embedding theorem is built upon his vanishing theorem, which is very influential to L²-estimate of ∂-operator.

- An equivalent formulation of the condition for Kodaira's embedding theorem is that *M* admits a positive holomorphic line bundle (by also Kodaira).
- The positivity of L = there exists a hermitian metric a on L such that its Chern curvature c₁(L) = −√(-1)/(2π)∂∂ log a > 0.
- ► Kodaira's embedding theorem is built upon his vanishing theorem, which is very influential to L²-estimate of ∂-operator.
- ► Given a K\u00e4hler manifold (M, g), when it admits a positive line bundle?

- An equivalent formulation of the condition for Kodaira's embedding theorem is that *M* admits a positive holomorphic line bundle (by also Kodaira).
- The positivity of L = there exists a hermitian metric a on L such that its Chern curvature c₁(L) = −^{√−1}/_{2π}∂∂ log a > 0.
- ► Kodaira's embedding theorem is built upon his vanishing theorem, which is very influential to L²-estimate of ∂-operator.
- ► Given a K\u00e4hler manifold (M, g), when it admits a positive line bundle?
- ► The 'canonical choice' is the canonical line bundle (K_M, det(g)⁻¹) or anti-canonical line K⁻¹_M = det T'M bundle.

For K_M, the positivity amounts to Ric < 0; for K_M⁻¹ the positivity amounts to Ric > 0 (called Fano).

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

- For K_M , the positivity amounts to Ric < 0; for K_M^{-1} the positivity amounts to Ric > 0 (called Fano).
- Are there other intrinsic curvatures for Kähler manifolds imply projectivity?

- For K_M , the positivity amounts to Ric < 0; for K_M^{-1} the positivity amounts to Ric > 0 (called Fano).
- Are there other intrinsic curvatures for Kähler manifolds imply projectivity?

・ロト ・ 同 ト ・ 三 ト ・ 三 ・ うへつ

• Sectional curvature and bisectional curvature $(B = R(X, \overline{X}, Y, \overline{Y}))$ positivity imply Ric > 0.

- For K_M, the positivity amounts to Ric < 0; for K_M⁻¹ the positivity amounts to Ric > 0 (called Fano).
- Are there other intrinsic curvatures for Kähler manifolds imply projectivity?

・ロト ・ 同 ト ・ 三 ト ・ 三 ・ うへつ

- Sectional curvature and bisectional curvature $(B = R(X, \overline{X}, Y, \overline{Y}))$ positivity imply Ric > 0.
- They are very strong conditions for Kähler manifolds.

- For K_M, the positivity amounts to Ric < 0; for K_M⁻¹ the positivity amounts to Ric > 0 (called Fano).
- Are there other intrinsic curvatures for Kähler manifolds imply projectivity?
- Sectional curvature and bisectional curvature $(B = R(X, \overline{X}, Y, \overline{Y}))$ positivity imply Ric > 0.
- They are very strong conditions for Kähler manifolds.
- Mori, Siu-Yau: If a Kähler manifold (M,g) has B > 0, then M = ℙ^m (biholomorphically).
- For K_M, the positivity amounts to Ric < 0; for K_M⁻¹ the positivity amounts to Ric > 0 (called Fano).
- Are there other intrinsic curvatures for Kähler manifolds imply projectivity?
- Sectional curvature and bisectional curvature $(B = R(X, \overline{X}, Y, \overline{Y}))$ positivity imply Ric > 0.
- They are very strong conditions for Kähler manifolds.
- Mori, Siu-Yau: If a Kähler manifold (M,g) has B > 0, then M = ℙ^m (biholomorphically).
- ▶ Mok: If a Kähler manifold (M,g) satisfies B ≥ 0, b₂ = 1, then M is a compact HSS.

- ◆ □ ▶ → 極 ▶ → 差 ▶ → 差 → のへぐ

Holomorphic sectional curvature H(X) = R(X, X, X, X) has been known to be independent of Ricci curvature (by examples Hitchin and others).

- ► Holomorphic sectional curvature H(X) = R(X, X, X, X) has been known to be independent of Ricci curvature (by examples Hitchin and others).
- Does H > 0 (or H < 0) imply the projectivity (namely M can be embedded into ℙ^k)?

- ► Holomorphic sectional curvature H(X) = R(X, X, X, X) has been known to be independent of Ricci curvature (by examples Hitchin and others).
- Does H > 0 (or H < 0) imply the projectivity (namely M can be embedded into ℙ^k)?
- ► Yes. For H > 0 it is a theorem of X. Yang (Cambridge J. Math. 2018). For H < 0 it is the combined effort of Wu-Yau (Invent. Math. 2016) and Tossati-Yang (JDG, 2017).

- ► Holomorphic sectional curvature H(X) = R(X, X, X, X) has been known to be independent of Ricci curvature (by examples Hitchin and others).
- Does H > 0 (or H < 0) imply the projectivity (namely M can be embedded into ℙ^k)?
- ▶ Yes. For H > 0 it is a theorem of X. Yang (Cambridge J. Math. 2018). For H < 0 it is the combined effort of Wu-Yau (Invent. Math. 2016) and Tossati-Yang (JDG, 2017).
- The first result does not apply to Riemann surfaces of positive genus. The second result is also restrictive in high dimension as explained later.

- ► Holomorphic sectional curvature H(X) = R(X, X, X, X) has been known to be independent of Ricci curvature (by examples Hitchin and others).
- Does H > 0 (or H < 0) imply the projectivity (namely M can be embedded into ℙ^k)?
- ▶ Yes. For H > 0 it is a theorem of X. Yang (Cambridge J. Math. 2018). For H < 0 it is the combined effort of Wu-Yau (Invent. Math. 2016) and Tossati-Yang (JDG, 2017).
- The first result does not apply to Riemann surfaces of positive genus. The second result is also restrictive in high dimension as explained later.
- More importantly there are many tori of complex dimension 2 which is not projective.

- ► Holomorphic sectional curvature H(X) = R(X, X, X, X) has been known to be independent of Ricci curvature (by examples Hitchin and others).
- Does H > 0 (or H < 0) imply the projectivity (namely M can be embedded into ℙ^k)?
- ► Yes. For H > 0 it is a theorem of X. Yang (Cambridge J. Math. 2018). For H < 0 it is the combined effort of Wu-Yau (Invent. Math. 2016) and Tossati-Yang (JDG, 2017).
- The first result does not apply to Riemann surfaces of positive genus. The second result is also restrictive in high dimension as explained later.
- More importantly there are many tori of complex dimension 2 which is not projective.
- Our first result/curvature captures, to some degree, the essential connection between the intrinsic positivity and the projectivity.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲目▶ ▲目▶ 目 のへで

▶ For $x \in M$ and $\Sigma \subset T'_xM$ a *k*-dimensional subspace, define

$$S_k(x,\Sigma^k) \doteq \frac{k(k+1)}{2Vol(\mathbb{S}^{2k-1})} \int_{|Z|=1,Z\in\Sigma} H(Z) d\theta(Z).$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 臣▶ ★ 臣▶ 三臣 … のへぐ

▶ For $x \in M$ and $\Sigma \subset T'_xM$ a *k*-dimensional subspace, define

$$S_k(x,\Sigma^k) \doteq \frac{k(k+1)}{2Vol(\mathbb{S}^{2k-1})} \int_{|Z|=1,Z\in\Sigma} H(Z) d\theta(Z).$$

▶ Recall that the scalar curvature $S(x) = \sum_{i,j=1}^{m} R(E_i, \overline{E}_i, E_j, \overline{E}_j)$, where $\{E_i\}$ is a unitary basis of $T'_x M$. Berger proved that

$$S(x) = \frac{m(m+1)}{2\operatorname{Vol}(\mathbb{S}^{2m-1})} \int_{|Z|=1, Z \in T'_x M} H(Z) \, d\theta(Z),$$

・ロト ・ 同 ト ・ 三 ト ・ 三 ・ うへつ

which implies S > 0 if H > 0.

► For $x \in M$ and $\Sigma \subset T'_xM$ a *k*-dimensional subspace, define

$$S_k(x,\Sigma^k) \doteq \frac{k(k+1)}{2Vol(\mathbb{S}^{2k-1})} \int_{|Z|=1,Z\in\Sigma} H(Z) d\theta(Z).$$

▶ Recall that the scalar curvature $S(x) = \sum_{i,j=1}^{m} R(E_i, \overline{E}_i, E_j, \overline{E}_j)$, where $\{E_i\}$ is a unitary basis of $T'_x M$. Berger proved that

$$S(x) = \frac{m(m+1)}{2\operatorname{Vol}(\mathbb{S}^{2m-1})} \int_{|Z|=1, Z \in T'_x M} H(Z) \, d\theta(Z),$$

・ロト ・ 同 ト ・ 三 ト ・ 三 ・ うへつ

which implies S > 0 if H > 0.

We say S_k(x) ≥ λ (≤ λ) if S_k(x, Σ) ≥ λ (S_k(x, Σ) ≤ λ) for all k-dimensional subspace Σ ⊂ T'_xM.

► For $x \in M$ and $\Sigma \subset T'_xM$ a *k*-dimensional subspace, define

$$S_k(x,\Sigma^k) \doteq \frac{k(k+1)}{2Vol(\mathbb{S}^{2k-1})} \int_{|Z|=1,Z\in\Sigma} H(Z) d\theta(Z).$$

▶ Recall that the scalar curvature $S(x) = \sum_{i,j=1}^{m} R(E_i, \overline{E}_i, E_j, \overline{E}_j)$, where $\{E_i\}$ is a unitary basis of $T'_x M$. Berger proved that

$$S(x) = \frac{m(m+1)}{2\operatorname{Vol}(\mathbb{S}^{2m-1})} \int_{|Z|=1, Z \in T'_x M} H(Z) \, d\theta(Z),$$

which implies S > 0 if H > 0.

We say S_k(x) ≥ λ (≤ λ) if S_k(x, Σ) ≥ λ (S_k(x, Σ) ≤ λ) for all k-dimensional subspace Σ ⊂ T'_xM. Clearly for k = 1, S₁(x) ≥ λ is the same as H(X) ≥ λ|X|⁴, and S_m(x) = S(x).

<ロト < 部 > < 目 > < 目 > < 目 > の < で</p>

C.1 A Kodaira embedding theorem

► Theorem (N-Zheng, 2018)

Any compact Kähler manifold M^m with positive 2nd-scalar curvature must be projective. In fact $h^{p,0}(M) = 0$ for any $2 \le p \le m$.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ - 三 - つへ⊙

C.1 A Kodaira embedding theorem

► Theorem (N-Zheng, 2018)

Any compact Kähler manifold M^m with positive 2nd-scalar curvature must be projective. In fact $h^{p,0}(M) = 0$ for any $2 \le p \le m$.

It was a theorem of Kodaira asserting that h^{2,0} = 0 is sufficient for the projective embedding.

C.1 A Kodaira embedding theorem

► Theorem (N-Zheng, 2018)

Any compact Kähler manifold M^m with positive 2nd-scalar curvature must be projective. In fact $h^{p,0}(M) = 0$ for any $2 \le p \le m$.

- It was a theorem of Kodaira asserting that h^{2,0} = 0 is sufficient for the projective embedding.
- This provides a general criterion on the projectivity. It is sharp since generic 2-tori are non-Abelian (not projective). The vanishing result also holds for H⁰(M, Ω^{⊗p}). The vanishing theorem for h^{p,0} with p ≥ k holds under S_k > 0 for k ≥ 3. But no embedding theorem could be possible.

► Theorem (N-Zheng, 2018)

Any compact Kähler manifold M^m with positive 2nd-scalar curvature must be projective. In fact $h^{p,0}(M) = 0$ for any $2 \le p \le m$.

- It was a theorem of Kodaira asserting that h^{2,0} = 0 is sufficient for the projective embedding.
- This provides a general criterion on the projectivity. It is sharp since generic 2-tori are non-Abelian (not projective). The vanishing result also holds for H⁰(M, Ω^{⊗p}). The vanishing theorem for h^{p,0} with p ≥ k holds under S_k > 0 for k ≥ 3. But no embedding theorem could be possible.
- By Kodaira-Spencer, there exists smooth deformations of Kähler metrics among a family of holomorphic deformations. Hence the result also implies the stability of the projectivity for such manifolds.

• First ingredient: a $\partial \bar{\partial}$ -lemma.

$$\partial \overline{\partial} |s|^2 = \langle \nabla s, \overline{\nabla s} \rangle - \widetilde{R}(s, \overline{s}, \cdot, \cdot)$$

where \widetilde{R} stands for the curvature of the Hermitian bundle $\bigwedge^{p} \Omega$, and $\Omega = (T'M)^{*}$ is the holomorphic cotangent bundle of M. The metric on $\bigwedge^{p} \Omega$ is derived from the metric of M^{m} .

• First ingredient: a $\partial \bar{\partial}$ -lemma.

$$\partial \overline{\partial} |s|^2 = \langle \nabla s, \overline{\nabla s} \rangle - \widetilde{R}(s, \overline{s}, \cdot, \cdot)$$

where \widetilde{R} stands for the curvature of the Hermitian bundle $\bigwedge^{p} \Omega$, and $\Omega = (T'M)^{*}$ is the holomorphic cotangent bundle of M. The metric on $\bigwedge^{p} \Omega$ is derived from the metric of M^{m} .

Second ingredient: 2nd variation consideration (on the minimal 2-subspaces, or k-spaces) is the key (this is motivated by Wiliking's proof of invariant conditions for Ricci flow). To prove the theorem we apply the maximum principle at x₀, where |s|² attains its maximum (s being a holomorphic (2,0)-form).

• First ingredient: a $\partial \bar{\partial}$ -lemma.

$$\partial \overline{\partial} |s|^2 = \langle \nabla s, \overline{\nabla s} \rangle - \widetilde{R}(s, \overline{s}, \cdot, \cdot)$$

where \widetilde{R} stands for the curvature of the Hermitian bundle $\bigwedge^{p} \Omega$, and $\Omega = (T'M)^{*}$ is the holomorphic cotangent bundle of M. The metric on $\bigwedge^{p} \Omega$ is derived from the metric of M^{m} .

- Second ingredient: 2nd variation consideration (on the minimal 2-subspaces, or k-spaces) is the key (this is motivated by Wiliking's proof of invariant conditions for Ricci flow). To prove the theorem we apply the maximum principle at x₀, where |s|² attains its maximum (s being a holomorphic (2,0)-form).
- In view of the compactness of the Grassmannians we find a complex two plane Σ in T'_{x0} M such that S₂(x₀, Σ) = inf_{Σ'} S₂(x₀, Σ') > 0.

In the following we denote f f(Z) to be the average of the integral of the function f over S³ ⊂ Σ.

- In the following we denote f f(Z) to be the average of the integral of the function f over S³ ⊂ Σ.
- ▶ For any $E \in \Sigma$ and $E' \perp \Sigma$ with |E| = |E'| = 1, we have that

$$\begin{split} \oint R(E,\overline{E}',Z,\overline{Z})d\theta(Z) &= \int R(E',\overline{E},Z,\overline{Z})d\theta(Z) &= 0, \\ \int R(E,\overline{E},Z,\overline{Z}) + R(E',\overline{E}',Z,\overline{Z})d\theta(Z) &\geq \frac{1}{6}S_2(x_0,\Sigma), \\ \int R(E',\overline{E}',Z,\overline{Z})d\theta(Z) &\geq \frac{1}{6}S_2(x_0,\Sigma). \end{split}$$

- In the following we denote f f(Z) to be the average of the integral of the function f over S³ ⊂ Σ.
- ▶ For any $E \in \Sigma$ and $E' \perp \Sigma$ with |E| = |E'| = 1, we have that

$$\begin{split} \oint R(E,\overline{E}',Z,\overline{Z})d\theta(Z) &= \int R(E',\overline{E},Z,\overline{Z})d\theta(Z) &= 0, \\ \int R(E,\overline{E},Z,\overline{Z}) + R(E',\overline{E}',Z,\overline{Z})d\theta(Z) &\geq \frac{1}{6}S_2(x_0,\Sigma), \\ \int R(E',\overline{E}',Z,\overline{Z})d\theta(Z) &\geq \frac{1}{6}S_2(x_0,\Sigma). \end{split}$$

The novelty of our proof is to use the minimality of Σ to get useful estimates first, and then apply them to tracing ∂∂-Lemma over Σ (only). B. Andrews (then adapted by Brendle and others) applied a similar trick to the diagonal manifolds (e.g. half of the dimension of the product manifold).

<ロト < 部 ト < 目 ト < 目 ト 目 の < で</p>

Define Ric(x, Σ) as the Ricci curvature of the curvature tensor restricted to the k-dimensional subspace Σ ⊂ T'_xM. Namely Ric(x, Σ)(v, v̄) = ∑^k_{i=1} R(E_i, Ē_i, v, v̄) with {E_i} being a unitary basis of Σ. We say that Ric_k(x) > 0 if Ric(x, Σ) > 0 for every k-dimensional subspace Σ.

- Define *Ric*(x, Σ) as the Ricci curvature of the curvature tensor restricted to the k-dimensional subspace Σ ⊂ T'_xM. Namely *Ric*(x, Σ)(v, v̄) = ∑^k_{i=1} R(E_i, Ē_i, v, v̄) with {E_i} being a unitary basis of Σ. We say that *Ric_k(x) > 0* if *Ric*(x, Σ) > 0 for every k-dimensional subspace Σ.
- Clearly when k = 1, Rick is just the holomorphic sectional curvature. And if k = m Rick is just the Ricci curvature.

- Define *Ric*(x, Σ) as the Ricci curvature of the curvature tensor restricted to the k-dimensional subspace Σ ⊂ T'_xM. Namely *Ric*(x, Σ)(v, v̄) = ∑^k_{i=1} R(E_i, Ē_i, v, v̄) with {E_i} being a unitary basis of Σ. We say that *Ric_k(x) > 0* if *Ric*(x, Σ) > 0 for every k-dimensional subspace Σ.
- Clearly when k = 1, Rick is just the holomorphic sectional curvature. And if k = m Rick is just the Ricci curvature.
- Theorem (Ni, 2019)

Let (N^n, h) be a compact Kähler manifold with $Ric_k > 0$, for some $1 \le k \le n$. Then N is projective and rationally connected. In particular, $\pi_1(N) = \{0\}$.

- Define *Ric*(x, Σ) as the Ricci curvature of the curvature tensor restricted to the k-dimensional subspace Σ ⊂ T'_xM. Namely *Ric*(x, Σ)(v, v̄) = ∑^k_{i=1} R(E_i, Ē_i, v, v̄) with {E_i} being a unitary basis of Σ. We say that *Ric_k(x) > 0* if *Ric*(x, Σ) > 0 for every k-dimensional subspace Σ.
- Clearly when k = 1, Rick is just the holomorphic sectional curvature. And if k = m Rick is just the Ricci curvature.
- Theorem (Ni, 2019)

Let (N^n, h) be a compact Kähler manifold with $\operatorname{Ric}_k > 0$, for some $1 \le k \le n$. Then N is projective and rationally connected. In particular, $\pi_1(N) = \{0\}$.

The rational connectivity was due to Heier-Wong (2015) for k = 1. For k = m, the rational connectivity was proved by Campana, Kollár-Miyaoka-Mori (90s), independently.

- Define *Ric*(x, Σ) as the Ricci curvature of the curvature tensor restricted to the k-dimensional subspace Σ ⊂ T'_xM. Namely *Ric*(x, Σ)(v, v̄) = ∑^k_{i=1} R(E_i, Ē_i, v, v̄) with {E_i} being a unitary basis of Σ. We say that *Ric*_k(x) > 0 if *Ric*(x, Σ) > 0 for every k-dimensional subspace Σ.
- Clearly when k = 1, Rick is just the holomorphic sectional curvature. And if k = m Rick is just the Ricci curvature.
- Theorem (Ni, 2019)

Let (N^n, h) be a compact Kähler manifold with $Ric_k > 0$, for some $1 \le k \le n$. Then N is projective and rationally connected. In particular, $\pi_1(N) = \{0\}$.

- The rational connectivity was due to Heier-Wong (2015) for k = 1. For k = m, the rational connectivity was proved by Campana, Kollár-Miyaoka-Mori (90s), independently.
- The proof uses 1) the above estimates and considerations, 2) Applying maximum principle to the co-mass of the forms.

(ロ)、<</p>

Kobayashi hyperbolicity (Brody): Compact Nⁿ is 1-hyperbolic if and only if any holomorphic map f : C → N must be constant.

- Kobayashi hyperbolicity (Brody): Compact Nⁿ is 1-hyperbolic if and only if any holomorphic map f : C → N must be constant.
- Schwarz Lemma (Yau-Royden): Let f : Σ → Nⁿ be a holomorphic map. Assume that the holomorphic sectional curvature of N, H(Y) ≤ -κ|Y|⁴ and the curvature of Riemann surface Σ, Ric(X, X) ≥ -K|X|² with κ, K > 0. Then

$$\|\partial f\|^2 \leq \frac{K}{\kappa}.$$

- Kobayashi hyperbolicity (Brody): Compact Nⁿ is 1-hyperbolic if and only if any holomorphic map f : C → N must be constant.
- Schwarz Lemma (Yau-Royden): Let f : Σ → Nⁿ be a holomorphic map. Assume that the holomorphic sectional curvature of N, H(Y) ≤ -κ|Y|⁴ and the curvature of Riemann surface Σ, Ric(X, X) ≥ -K|X|² with κ, K > 0. Then

$$\|\partial f\|^2 \leq \frac{K}{\kappa}.$$

It generalizes the Ahlfors's result and implies the 1-hyperbolicity of N, if N is compact and H^N(Y) < 0. Hence H < 0 is a very restrictive condition. Conditions S_k < 0, and Ric_k < 0 for k ≥ 2 are more flexible.</p>
(4日) (個) (注) (注) (注) (三) (0) (0)

E1. A new Schwarz Lemma

• (Royden): Let $f : M^m \to N^n$ be a holomorphic map. Assume that $H^N(Y) \le -\kappa |X|^4$ and $\operatorname{Ric}^M(X, \overline{X}) \ge -K|X|^2$ with $\kappa, K > 0$. Then with $d = \operatorname{rank}(f)$ $\|\partial f\|^2 \le \frac{2d}{d+1} \frac{K}{\kappa}.$

・ロト ・ 同 ト ・ 三 ト ・ 三 ・ うへつ

E1. A new Schwarz Lemma

- ► (Royden): Let $f : M^m \to N^n$ be a holomorphic map. Assume that $H^N(Y) \le -\kappa |X|^4$ and $\operatorname{Ric}^M(X, \overline{X}) \ge -K |X|^2$ with $\kappa, K > 0$. Then with $d = \operatorname{rank}(f)$ $\|\partial f\|^2 \le \frac{2d}{d+1} \frac{K}{\kappa}.$
- (Yau): ||∂f||² ≤ K/κ was proved for the case m ≥ 2 if bisectional curvature of N is bounded from above by -κ.

・ロト ・ 同 ト ・ 三 ト ・ 三 ・ うへつ

E1. A new Schwarz Lemma

- ► (Royden): Let $f : M^m \to N^n$ be a holomorphic map. Assume that $H^N(Y) \le -\kappa |X|^4$ and $\operatorname{Ric}^M(X, \overline{X}) \ge -K |X|^2$ with $\kappa, K > 0$. Then with $d = \operatorname{rank}(f)$ $\|\partial f\|^2 \le \frac{2d}{d+1} \frac{K}{\kappa}$.
- Yau): ||∂f||² ≤ K/κ was proved for the case m ≥ 2 if bisectional curvature of N is bounded from above by -κ.
- Theorem (N-2018)

Let (M, g) be a complete Kähler manifold such that the holomorphic sectional curvature $H^M(X)/|X|^4 \ge -K$, and (N^n, h) be a Kähler manifold with $H^N(Y) < -\kappa |Y|^4$ for some $\kappa > 0$. Let $f: M \to N$ be a holomorphic map. Then

$$\|\partial f\|_m^2 \le \frac{K}{\kappa},\tag{0.1}$$

and ideal that the biasctional summature of M is being defined from $\sum_{i=1}^{n} 2^{i} \sqrt{2}$

For the tangent map ∂f : T'_xM → T'_{f(x)}N we define its maximum norm square to be

$$\|\partial f\|_m^2 \doteq \sup_{v\neq 0} \frac{|\partial f(v)|^2}{|v|^2}.$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 臣▶ ★ 臣▶ 三臣 … のへぐ

For the tangent map ∂f : T'_xM → T'_{f(x)}N we define its maximum norm square to be

$$\|\partial f\|_m^2 \doteq \sup_{v\neq 0} \frac{|\partial f(v)|^2}{|v|^2}.$$

It is a reminiscent of the well-known Pogorelov's Lemma for Monge-Ampère equation, since the maximum eigenvalue of ∇²u is the || · ||_m for the differential of normal map ∇u for any smooth u.

For the tangent map ∂f : T'_xM → T'_{f(x)}N we define its maximum norm square to be

$$\|\partial f\|_m^2 \doteq \sup_{v\neq 0} \frac{|\partial f(v)|^2}{|v|^2}.$$

- It is a reminiscent of the well-known Pogorelov's Lemma for Monge-Ampère equation, since the maximum eigenvalue of ∇²u is the || · ||_m for the differential of normal map ∇u for any smooth u.
- It is perhaps the most natural high dimensional generalization of Ahlfors' (and Yau-Royden) Schwarz lemma for mapping between Riemann surfaces.

For the tangent map ∂f : T'_xM → T'_{f(x)}N we define its maximum norm square to be

$$\|\partial f\|_m^2 \doteq \sup_{v\neq 0} \frac{|\partial f(v)|^2}{|v|^2}.$$

- It is a reminiscent of the well-known Pogorelov's Lemma for Monge-Ampère equation, since the maximum eigenvalue of ∇²u is the || · ||_m for the differential of normal map ∇u for any smooth u.
- It is perhaps the most natural high dimensional generalization of Ahlfors' (and Yau-Royden) Schwarz lemma for mapping between Riemann surfaces.
- The proof uses a viscosity consideration from PDE theory. The key is to construct a smooth barrier.

A classical result asserts: A simply-connected Kähler manifold M^m with negative holomorphic sectional curvature −1 must be isometric to the complex hyperbolic space form CH^m.

・ロト ・ 同 ト ・ 三 ト ・ 三 ・ うへつ

- A classical result asserts: A simply-connected Kähler manifold M^m with negative holomorphic sectional curvature −1 must be isometric to the complex hyperbolic space form CH^m.
- ► Naturally one could ask if the holomorphic sectional curvature is close to -1, can one prove that the metric is close to the complex hyperbolic one? Namely the 'stability' of *H*? (Rauch showed the topological stability for the sectional curvature, which led to quarter-pinching theorems).

- A classical result asserts: A simply-connected Kähler manifold M^m with negative holomorphic sectional curvature −1 must be isometric to the complex hyperbolic space form CH^m.
- ► Naturally one could ask if the holomorphic sectional curvature is close to -1, can one prove that the metric is close to the complex hyperbolic one? Namely the 'stability' of *H*? (Rauch showed the topological stability for the sectional curvature, which led to quarter-pinching theorems).
- Corollary: the equivalence of the negativities of the holomorphic sectional curvature implies the equivalence of the metrics.

・ロト ・ 日 ・ モ ト ・ モ ・ うへぐ

- A classical result asserts: A simply-connected Kähler manifold M^m with negative holomorphic sectional curvature −1 must be isometric to the complex hyperbolic space form CH^m.
- ► Naturally one could ask if the holomorphic sectional curvature is close to -1, can one prove that the metric is close to the complex hyperbolic one? Namely the 'stability' of *H*? (Rauch showed the topological stability for the sectional curvature, which led to quarter-pinching theorems).
- Corollary: the equivalence of the negativities of the holomorphic sectional curvature implies the equivalence of the metrics.
- ▶ If two Kähler metrics g₁ and g₂ satisfy that

$$-L_1|X|_{g_1}^4 \leq H_{g_1}(X) \leq -U_1|X|_{g_1}^4, \quad -L_2|X|_{g_2}^4 \leq H_{g_2}(X) \leq -U_2|X|_g^4$$

then for any $v \in T'_{x}M$ we have the estimates:

$$|v|_{g_2}^2 \leq \frac{L_1}{U_2} |v|_{g_1}^2; \quad |v|_{g_1}^2 \leq \frac{L_2}{U_1} |v|_{g_2}^2.$$

 k-hyperbolicity: N is k-hyperbolic if and only if any holomorphic f : C^k → N must be degenerate (namely dim(f(M)) < k).

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

- k-hyperbolicity: N is k-hyperbolic if and only if any holomorphic f : C^k → N must be degenerate (namely dim(f(M)) < k).
- ► Conjecture: Let Nⁿ (n ≥ 2) be a compact Kähler manifold with S_k < 0. Then Nⁿ is k-hyperbolic.

・ロト ・ 同 ト ・ 三 ト ・ 三 ・ うへつ

- k-hyperbolicity: N is k-hyperbolic if and only if any holomorphic f : C^k → N must be degenerate (namely dim(f(M)) < k).
- ► Conjecture: Let Nⁿ (n ≥ 2) be a compact Kähler manifold with S_k < 0. Then Nⁿ is k-hyperbolic.

► Theorem (N-2018)

(i) Assume that $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} M = m \leq n = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} N$. Let (M, g) be a compact Kähler manifold such that $Ric^{M} \geq 0$. Let (N^{n}, h) be a complete Kähler manifold such that $S_{m}^{N}(y) < 0$. Then any holomorphic map $f : M \to N$ must be degenerate. If the condition is relaxed to allow $S_{m}^{N} \leq 0$ then either the map is degenerate or it must be totally geodesic. (ii) If $Ric_{\nu}^{N} < 0$ and N is compact, then N is k-hyperbolic. Same

rigidity as (i) holds if < is \leq .

- k-hyperbolicity: N is k-hyperbolic if and only if any holomorphic f : C^k → N must be degenerate (namely dim(f(M)) < k).</p>
- ► Conjecture: Let Nⁿ (n ≥ 2) be a compact Kähler manifold with S_k < 0. Then Nⁿ is k-hyperbolic.

► Theorem (N-2018)

(i) Assume that $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} M = m \le n = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} N$. Let (M, g) be a compact Kähler manifold such that $Ric^M \ge 0$. Let (N^n, h) be a complete Kähler manifold such that $S_m^N(y) < 0$. Then any holomorphic map $f : M \to N$ must be degenerate. If the condition is relaxed to allow $S_m^N \le 0$ then either the map is degenerate or it must be totally geodesic.

(ii) If $\operatorname{Ric}_{k}^{N} < 0$ and N is compact, then N is k-hyperbolic. Same rigidity as (i) holds if < is \leq .

► For (ii) the equal dimensional case was known (Mok-Yau). Here $Ric_k^N < 0$ is a stronger assumption than $S_k < 0$.

Sac

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 臣▶ ★ 臣▶ 三臣 … のへぐ

What can be said for π₁(M) if Ric_k ≥ 0? When k = n, Cheeger-Gromoll applies. It can not contain a surface group for g(Σ) ≥ 2 (Ni-2019).

- What can be said for π₁(M) if Ric_k ≥ 0? When k = n, Cheeger-Gromoll applies. It can not contain a surface group for g(Σ) ≥ 2 (Ni-2019).
- ► Wu-Yau, Tosatti-Yang: A compact Kähler manifold with H < 0 (or even quasi-negative) is projective and K_M is ample.

- What can be said for π₁(M) if Ric_k ≥ 0? When k = n, Cheeger-Gromoll applies. It can not contain a surface group for g(Σ) ≥ 2 (Ni-2019).
- Wu-Yau, Tosatti-Yang: A compact Kähler manifold with H < 0 (or even quasi-negative) is projective and K_M is ample.
- Questions: how about compact Kähler manifolds with S₂ < 0? Are they projective? When can one deduce that K_M is ample?

A D M A

- What can be said for π₁(M) if Ric_k ≥ 0? When k = n, Cheeger-Gromoll applies. It can not contain a surface group for g(Σ) ≥ 2 (Ni-2019).
- Wu-Yau, Tosatti-Yang: A compact Kähler manifold with H < 0 (or even quasi-negative) is projective and K_M is ample.
- Questions: how about compact Kähler manifolds with S₂ < 0? Are they projective? When can one deduce that K_M is ample?

A D M A

Similar question can be asked for *Ric_k* < 0 for *k* ∈ (1, *n*), which is stronger than *S_k* < 0.</p>

- What can be said for π₁(M) if Ric_k ≥ 0? When k = n, Cheeger-Gromoll applies. It can not contain a surface group for g(Σ) ≥ 2 (Ni-2019).
- Wu-Yau, Tosatti-Yang: A compact Kähler manifold with H < 0 (or even quasi-negative) is projective and K_M is ample.
- Questions: how about compact Kähler manifolds with S₂ < 0? Are they projective? When can one deduce that K_M is ample?
- Similar question can be asked for *Ric_k* < 0 for *k* ∈ (1, *n*), which is stronger than *S_k* < 0.</p>
- ► (Motivated by my metric stability result) Is a compact Kähler manifold with H close to -1 biholomorphic to a quotient of complex hyperbolic space? (Negative for Riemannian case by Gromov-Thurston. But true for the positive case due to Mori, Siu-Yau's result and the curvature pinching).

(ロ)、<</p>

► HSSs are characterized by B ≥ 0 by Mori, Siu-Yau, Mok of compact HSSs. HSSs are special homogenous Kähler spaces (C-spaces).

- ► HSSs are characterized by B ≥ 0 by Mori, Siu-Yau, Mok of compact HSSs. HSSs are special homogenous Kähler spaces (C-spaces).
- Conjecture (Campana-Peternell, Zheng, 1993) For *M* Fano, nef of *T'M* → *M* is rational homogenous Kähler; Wu-Yau-Zheng (2009) attempted with a curvature notion *QB* (not working due to Chau-Tam, 2012).

- ► HSSs are characterized by B ≥ 0 by Mori, Siu-Yau, Mok of compact HSSs. HSSs are special homogenous Kähler spaces (C-spaces).
- Conjecture (Campana-Peternell, Zheng, 1993) For *M* Fano, nef of *T'M* → *M* is rational homogenous Kähler;
 Wu-Yau-Zheng (2009) attempted with a curvature notion *QB* (not working due to Chau-Tam, 2012).
- Quadratic orthogonal bisectional curvature (QB) is defined as ⟨R, A²∧id - A∧A⟩ for any Hermitian symmetric tensor A. Locally QB> 0 = ∑_{i,j} R_{iījj}(a_i - a_j)² > 0, for any unitary frame {e_i}, a ≠ c1.

- ► HSSs are characterized by B ≥ 0 by Mori, Siu-Yau, Mok of compact HSSs. HSSs are special homogenous Kähler spaces (C-spaces).
- Conjecture (Campana-Peternell, Zheng, 1993) For *M* Fano, nef of *T'M* → *M* is rational homogenous Kähler;
 Wu-Yau-Zheng (2009) attempted with a curvature notion *QB* (not working due to Chau-Tam, 2012).
- Quadratic orthogonal bisectional curvature (QB) is defined as ⟨R, A²∧id - A∧A⟩ for any Hermitian symmetric tensor A. Locally QB> 0 = ∑_{i,j} R_{iījj}(a_i - a_j)² > 0, for any unitary frame {e_i}, a ≠ c1.
- ► A step back: $Ric^{\perp}(X, \bar{X}) \doteq Ric(X, \bar{X}) H(X)/|X|^2$. (QB) > 0 implies $Ric^{\perp} > 0$. Wang-Zheng-N: Classical *C*-spaces with $b_2 = 1$ satisfy $Ric^{\perp} > 0$ (unlike QB > 0); A Frankel type result holds; A complete classification for $\dim_{C}(M) = 3$

▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲圖▶ ▲圖▶ ▲国 ● ● ●

F3. Cross quadratic curvature

For n = 4, Wang-Zheng-N formulated a conjecture for Ric[⊥] > 0 Kähler manifolds.

F3. Cross quadratic curvature

- For n = 4, Wang-Zheng-N formulated a conjecture for Ric[⊥] > 0 Kähler manifolds.
- n ≥ 5, Ric[⊥] > 0 perhaps is too weak to prove that it must be a C-space. It remains open even if such a manifold is Fano.

- ロ ト - 4 回 ト - 4 □

F3. Cross quadratic curvature

- For n = 4, Wang-Zheng-N formulated a conjecture for Ric[⊥] > 0 Kähler manifolds.
- n ≥ 5, Ric[⊥] > 0 perhaps is too weak to prove that it must be a C-space. It remains open even if such a manifold is Fano.
- A step further (motivated by Calabi-Vesentini's work): On a Kähler manifold (Mⁿ, g), let T'M and T"M be the holomorphic and anti-holomorphic tangent bundle of M, then CQB is a Hermitian quadratic form on linear maps A : T"M → T'M:

$$CQB(A) = \sum_{\alpha,\beta=1}^{n} R(A(\overline{E}_{\alpha}), \overline{A(\overline{E}_{\alpha})}, E_{\beta}, \overline{E}_{\beta}) - R(E_{\alpha}, \overline{E}_{\beta}, A(\overline{E}_{\alpha}), \overline{A(\overline{E}_{\beta})})$$

where *R* is the curvature tensor of *M* and $\{E_{\alpha}\}$ is a unitary frame of T'M. ^{*d*}CQB can be defined as well.
F3. Cross quadratic curvature

- For n = 4, Wang-Zheng-N formulated a conjecture for Ric[⊥] > 0 Kähler manifolds.
- n ≥ 5, Ric[⊥] > 0 perhaps is too weak to prove that it must be a C-space. It remains open even if such a manifold is Fano.
- A step further (motivated by Calabi-Vesentini's work): On a Kähler manifold (Mⁿ, g), let T'M and T"M be the holomorphic and anti-holomorphic tangent bundle of M, then CQB is a Hermitian quadratic form on linear maps A : T"M → T'M:

$$CQB(A) = \sum_{\alpha,\beta=1}^{n} R(A(\overline{E}_{\alpha}), \overline{A(\overline{E}_{\alpha})}, E_{\beta}, \overline{E}_{\beta}) - R(E_{\alpha}, \overline{E}_{\beta}, A(\overline{E}_{\alpha}), \overline{A(\overline{E}_{\beta})})$$

where *R* is the curvature tensor of *M* and $\{E_{\alpha}\}$ is a unitary frame of T'M. ^{*d*}CQB can be defined as well.

CQB₁ > 0 implies *Ric* > 0 and *Ric*[⊥] > 0. The local rigidity of manifolds with ^dCQB> 0, and that all classical *C*-spaces with b₂ = 1 satisfies CQB> 0, ^dCQB> 0 (Ni-2019)_☉, *c* = , *c* = ,

▲□▶▲□▶▲目▶▲目▶ 目 のへの

▶ Kähler *C*-spaces with CQB≥ 0, d CQB> 0 and $b_2 \rightarrow \infty$ exist.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ □▶ ◆ □▶ - □ - のへぐ

▶ Kähler *C*-spaces with CQB≥ 0, d CQB> 0 and $b_2 \rightarrow \infty$ exist.

► Conjecture

Any Kähler C-space will have nonnegative CQB and positive ^dCQB.

▶ Kähler *C*-spaces with CQB≥ 0, d CQB> 0 and $b_2 \rightarrow \infty$ exist.

Conjecture

Any Kähler C-space will have nonnegative CQB and positive ^dCQB.

Conjecture

Let (M,g) be a Kähler-Einstein manifold with $CQB \ge 0$ and (or) ${}^{d}CQB > 0$. Then M is biholomorphic to a Kähler C-space.

・ロト ・ 同 ト ・ 三 ト ・ 三 ・ うへつ

▶ Kähler *C*-spaces with CQB≥ 0, d CQB> 0 and $b_2 \rightarrow \infty$ exist.

Conjecture

Any Kähler C-space will have nonnegative CQB and positive ^dCQB.

Conjecture

Let (M,g) be a Kähler-Einstein manifold with $CQB \ge 0$ and (or) ${}^{d}CQB > 0$. Then M is biholomorphic to a Kähler C-space.

► The conjecture without the KE condition also makes sense.

・ロト ・ 同 ト ・ 三 ト ・ 三 ・ うへつ

▶ Kähler *C*-spaces with CQB≥ 0, d CQB> 0 and $b_2 \rightarrow \infty$ exist.

Conjecture

Any Kähler C-space will have nonnegative CQB and positive ^dCQB.

Conjecture

Let (M, g) be a Kähler-Einstein manifold with $CQB \ge 0$ and (or) ${}^{d}CQB > 0$. Then M is biholomorphic to a Kähler C-space.

The conjecture without the KE condition also makes sense.

Theorem (N-Zheng, 2019)

Let (M, g) be a compact Kähler manifold with $CQB_1 \ge 0$ (or ${}^{d}CQB_1 \ge 0$) and its universal cover does not contain a flat de Rham factor. Then M is Fano. In fact, the Kähler-Ricci flow will evolve the metric g to ones with positive Ricci curvature.

▶ Kähler *C*-spaces with CQB≥ 0, d CQB> 0 and $b_2 \rightarrow \infty$ exist.

Conjecture

Any Kähler C-space will have nonnegative CQB and positive ^dCQB.

Conjecture

Let (M, g) be a Kähler-Einstein manifold with $CQB \ge 0$ and (or) ${}^{d}CQB > 0$. Then M is biholomorphic to a Kähler C-space.

The conjecture without the KE condition also makes sense.

Theorem (N-Zheng, 2019)

Let (M, g) be a compact Kähler manifold with $CQB_1 \ge 0$ (or ${}^{d}CQB_1 \ge 0$) and its universal cover does not contain a flat de Rham factor. Then M is Fano. In fact, the Kähler-Ricci flow will evolve the metric g to ones with positive Ricci curvature.

There are Riemannian analogues of CQB and ^{*d*}CQB. The result for real cases generalizes an earlier result of Böhm-Wilking on manifolds with $K \ge 0$.